Name of Presenter _____

Name of Judge _____

Score of Podium Presentations

(Circle score for each box, if between two scores then circle two surrounding numbers)
(1 = Poor, 2 = Marginal, 3 = OK, 4 = Good, 5 = Great)

Criteria	Score	Comments
Title and Presentation Outline (tells the audience what they will hear)	1 2 3 4 5	
Introduction (Starts broad and funnels to main problem, may include references to the work of others in the same or similar field))	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of the Hypothesis or Design Statement (Is it appropriate?)	1 2 3 4 5	
Well-defined specific aims (clearly states what will be delivered)	1 2 3 4 5	
Research Design and Methodology (sample numbers, research milestones, knowledge of techniques and methods)	1 2 3 4 5	
Research Results (Do the results make sense and contribute to the goal of the research?)	1 2 3 4 5	
Discussion (Result interpretation and significance)	1 2 3 4 5	
Future Work and Milestones (What is going to be worked on next)	1 2 3 4 5	
Slide Quality Appropriate Text Size, Font, and Color General Visual Appeal Readability of Figures	1 2 3 4 5	
Verbal Presentation Quality Tempo and audience contact Comfortable with material being presented Within allowed time limit Quality of answers to questions	1 2 3 4 5	
Delivery Eye contact, movement, rate of speech, volume, enthusiasm, confidence	1 2 3 4 5	
Overall Presentation Quality (did you learn from it) Include impression of thoroughness	1 2 3 4 5	